

Import Control Regime in Zimbabwe: The Case of Statutory Instrument 64 of 2016

Brian Mureverwi

Introduction

- Statutory instrument 64 of 2016 introduced in July 2016, with immediate effect!
- Additional goods requiring import permits, amending S.I 08 of 1996
- Designed to protect domestic industries from fierce foreign competition
- Trade Deficit, averaging USD2.4 billion annually
- General foreign currency flight
- To stimulate growth of local “infant industries”, and resuscitate existing firms by creating “captive market”
- History of high tariffs, stringent import regime, VAT and border taxes, surtax, consignment conformity based assessments (CBCA)

Materials and methods

- Qualitative Research through key informant interviews within Govt ministries and departments, Zimbabwe National Chamber of Commerce, Confederation of Zimbabwe Industries, Freight Forwarders, and
- Desktop literature review

Results

- Unilateral violation of SADC Protocol on Trade, COMESA Treaty and Bilateral Trade Agreements with respect to introduction of new quantitative restrictions
- Confrontation by South Africa and Zambia delegations
- Violent demonstration by cross-border traders at Beitbridge Border Post and Musina; leading to the burning down of ZIMRA warehouse (*Image on the right bottom corner*)
- Widespread advertising of S.I 64 of 2016 in all forms of media
- Adoption of S.I by ZANU PF Politburo on 6 July 2016
- Fast disappearing of imported goods from shelves and warehouse
- Long queues at the Ministry of Industry and Commerce for permit applications
- Long queues at all border posts due to the suddenly introduced permit system (Non-Tariff Barrier)
- Zambia condemns peanut butter from Zimbabwe (amid concerns that it contains substances that causes cancer- emergence SPS measure)
- SADC Committee of Ministers of Trade , and COMESA Trade and Customs meetings requesting audience on the same matter
- Rise in the prices of domestically available substitutes (consumer welfare decline)
- Loss of jobs in the logistics and retail chains
- Rampant smuggling of imported goods, and corruption at border posts
- Manufacturing sector capacity utilization increases from 34.3% to 47.4%, factors attributable to S.I 64 of 2016
- Positive growth in Furniture, Food Beverages, and Dairy Processing
- Some of the value-added inputs now require import permits such as fruit concentrates and fabric (unintended effects effects)

Conclusions

- Trade policy formulation must be a stakeholder consultative process
- Adherence to international agreements and treaties is critical
- Some level of Govt protection in order to industrialize is allowed, but not in perpetuity (permanent infant industries)
- Build capacity in Govt, Private, business associations, and non-state actors with respect to trade policy engagement, design and implementation



Image Credit: [The Herald Zimbabwe](#) (2 July 2016)

Literature cited (web pages)

- <http://www.sundaymail.co.zw/zim-sa-in-key-trade-talks/>
- <http://www.herald.co.zw/zanu-pf-will-not-be-deterred/>
- http://www.china.org.cn/world/Off_the_Wire/2016-07/16/content_38893654.htm
- <http://www.herald.co.zw/breaking-beitbridge-boarder-shut->

[down-over-new-import-regulations/](#)

- <https://www.lusakatimes.com/2016/09/07/zabs-withdraws-11000-containers-lyons-peanut-butter/>

Acknowledgments

The useful contribution I got from colleagues at CZI and ZNCC, as well as great insights from officials at the Ministry of Industry and Commerce, and the Zimbabwe Revenue Authority

Further information

Contact Brian at briammureverwi@gmail.com